



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/680,419	10/06/2000	Nobuhiro Suetsugu	Q60879	1278
7590 09/28/2004			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20037-3213			NGUYEN, NHON D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/680,419	SUETSUGU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen	2179			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dawill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	•					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ar election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
	application from the International Burea		Wod III timo Filandina. Otago			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) 4	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail				
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>09232004</u> .e		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2179

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This communication is responsive to the Request For Reconsideration filed 06/30/2004.
- 2. Claims 1-15 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 6, and 9 are independent claims. The finality of the action of 03/31/2004 is withdrawn and this action is made final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2, 3, 5, and 6 recites the limitation "created by said device selecting means".

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 9, 10, 12, and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hansen (US 5,819,042).

Art Unit: 2179

As per independent claim 9 Hansen teaches a display drafting method comprising: selecting a device of a controller for use (60 and 62 of fig. 3B); and setting up a display drafting information for said selected device (96-99 of fig. 3B and fig. 5 and fig. 6).

Hansen further teaches wherein setting up the display drafting information after said device is selected (as in fig. 3B, the step of selecting device 60 is done before the step of configuring that device 96-993).

As per claim 10, which is dependent on claim 9, Hansen teaches selecting device further comprises selecting a device symbol and selecting a device number (figs. 5 and 6; col. 14, lines 28-36 and col. 15, lines 32-51).

As per claim 12, which is dependent on claim 10, it is inherent in Hansen's system to have changing at least one of said device symbol and said device number after setting up part of said display drafting information.

As per claims 13 and 14, which are both dependent on claim 9, whenever Hansen's system saves data such as display drafting information or device selection information, the processes of setting up the display drafting information and selecting device of the controller must be paused (or interrupted) for a period of time to allow the data to be saved completely before they can continue. Therefore, it is inherent that the processes of setting up the display

Art Unit: 2179

drafting information and selecting device of the controller are interrupted when saving data of the display drafting information and saving data of a device selection information, respectively

As per claim 15, which is dependent on claim 9, Hansen teaches the selected device is used in display drafting and in generating a control program for said controller (fig. 4; col. 9, lines 40-52).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-6, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen in view of Official Notice.

As per independent claim 1, Hansen teaches a computer implemented method and corresponding system for display drafting apparatus comprising the steps/means:

means for selecting a device of a controller for use (60 and 62 of fig. 3B), and means for setting up display drafting information for said selected device (96-99 of fig. 3B and fig. 5 and fig. 6) comprising a display mode (e.g. col. 15, line 43; connected or unconnected) and a display function (col. 15, lines 32-51). Hansen does not disclose a display component can be set up for the selected device. The Examiner takes Official Notice that setting up display component of a device such as the Compaq Router 122 (fig. 7) to different looks is

Art Unit: 2179

well known in the computer art. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to modify Hansen's system to include the feature of setting up display component of a device since it would give users many options to represent the look of the device.

Hansen further teaches wherein the means for selecting are used to select a device before the means for setting up are used to set up the display drafting information (as in fig. 3B, the step of selecting device 60 is done before the step of configuring that device 96-99).

As per claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, Hansen does not disclose means for saving only device selection information for the controller selected by said device selecting means, wherein the device selection information can be saved, even if said selected device is a device for which the display drafting information is not set up. The Examiner takes Official Notice that Hansen's Network devices may include setup devices and non-setup devices. Non-setup devices obviously can be saved without being set up. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to modify Hansen's system to include the feature of saving devices without having them set up since it would eliminate unnecessary steps.

As per claims 3 and 4, which are dependent on claims 1 and 3 respectively, Hansen teaches a function of a control program schema generator for said controller therein, further comprising:

means for allowing the device selection information for said controller selected and created by said device selecting means to be used with said control program schema generator; and means for appending a comment to the device of said controller selected by said device

Art Unit: 2179

selecting means, and means for sharing the appended comment between said display drafting apparatus and said control program schema generator (figs. 4 and 7; col. 9, lines 25-52).

As per claim 5, which is dependent on claim 1, Hansen teaches the display drafting apparatus according to claim 1, further comprising:

control program schema generating means for said controller, and means for allowing the use of the device selection information for said controller selected and created by said device selecting means, when a program schema is generated by said generating means (figs. 4 and 7; col. 9, lines 25-52).

As per independent claim 6, it is a combination of claims 1 and 5; therefore, it is rejected under the same rationale as claims 1 and 5, combined.

As per claim 11, which is dependent on claim 9, it is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

9. Claims 7 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen in view of Official Notice and further in view of the applicant's admitted prior art.

As per claim 7, which is dependent on claim 6, Hansen in view of Official Notice does not explicitly discloses the display drafting apparatus, the display, and the controller of the display drafting system is connected in series and the data is transferred between these modules.

Art Unit: 2179

Applicant's admitted prior art teaches the display drafting apparatus, the display, and the controller of the display drafting system is connected in series and the data is transferred between these modules (fig. 19). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Official Notice of connecting the display drafting apparatus, the display, and the controller of the display drafting system in series and having data transferred between these modules in modified Hansen's system since it would divide the processing jobs between modules and make the system run faster.

Modified Hansen further does not disclose these three modules are connected in series in order of the display drafting apparatus, the display, and the controller. The Examiner takes

Official Notice that the order of connection is just a design choice and it is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to alternate the order of connection among the display drafting apparatus, the display, and the controller in modified Hansen's system since it would allow a user to create an optimal design.

As per claim 8, which is dependent on claim 6, Hansen in view of Official Notice does not explicitly discloses the display drafting apparatus, the display, and the controller of the display drafting system is connected in series and the data is transferred between these modules. Applicant's admitted prior art teaches the display drafting apparatus, the display, and the controller of the display drafting system is connected in series and the data is transferred between these modules (fig. 19). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Official Notice of connecting the display drafting apparatus, the display, and the controller of the display drafting system in series and having data transferred between

Art Unit: 2179

these modules in modified Hansen's system since it would divide the processing jobs between modules and make the system run faster.

Modified Hansen further does not disclose these three modules are connected in series in order of the display drafting apparatus, the controller, and the display. The Examiner takes

Official Notice that the order of connection is just a design choice and it is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to alternate the order of connection among the display drafting apparatus, the display, and the controller in modified Hansen's system since it would allow a user to create an optimal design.

#### Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2179

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Inquiries

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-8318 or (571)272-4139 (starting 10/20/2004). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday with every other Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R Herndon can be reached on (703)308-5186 or (571)272-4136 (starting 10/20/2004). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nhon (Gary) Nguyen September 23, 2004

> BAHUYNH MARYEXAMINER